IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.Y.SOMAYAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 269 of 2005

Between:

M/s. Durga Aquatech Private Limited, represented by its Managing Director Koduri Venkateswara Varama,
Vidyut Nagar, Near Black Bridge, Amalapuram E.G. District

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, represented by its Secretary

Revenue (Assignemts-I) Department,
A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad
2 The Chief Commissioner of Labour Administration, Government of Andhra

Pradesh, Nampally, Hyderabad
3 The Collector & District Magistrate, Kakinada, East Godavari District
4 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Amalapuram, East Godavari District

..... RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondents to expedite the process of the lease application dated 18-07-1996 for grant of lease for an extent of Ac.58.01 cents in S. Yanam Village of Uppalaguptam Mandal, East Godavari District in terms of Government Memo No. 38668, dated
27-6-1994 by issuing a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, and to pass such other order or orders.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.G.RAMA GOPAL

Counsel for the Respondents: G.P. FOR Assignment

The Court at the stage of admission made the following

ORDER:

By this petition the petitioner is seeking a direction to the respondents to expedite the process of his application dated 18-07-1996 seeking lease of Ac.58.01 cents in S. Yanam village of Uppalaguptam Mandal, East Godavari District as per Government Memo No.38668, dated 27-06-1994.

(2) In the counter affidavit filed by the Revenue Divisional Officer-fourth respondent, on behalf of respondents 1 to 4, it is clearly stated that the request of the petitioner cannot be complied, as the land cannot be leased out to him alone. So, it is clear that the Government has rejected his proposal and so no useful purpose would be served in directing to respondents to consider the request of the petitioner, as respondents categorically stated that they have no intention to grant lease to the petitioner.

(3) Hence, the writ petition is dismissed at the stage of admission. No costs.

C.Y. SOMAYAJULU, J

Date: 21st April, 2005

lsn

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To

1 The Secretary, The Government of Andhra Pradesh,

Revenue (Assignemts-I) Department, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad .
2 The Chief Commissioner of Labour Administration, Government of Andhra

Pradesh, Nampally, Hyderabad
3 The Collector & District Magistrate, Kakinada, East Godavari District
4 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Amalapuram, East Godavari District

5 Two CCs to G.P. for Assignment, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

6 Two CD copies